

Linux Kernel Safety RuleDB - Feature #3317

010: Usage of a GFP_ATOMIC flag in functions of memory allocation in a context of interrupt.

08/01/2012 07:08 PM - Vladimir Gratinskiy

Status:	Resolved	Start date:	08/01/2012
Priority:	Normal	Due date:	08/08/2012
Assignee:	Vladimir Gratinskiy	% Done:	100%
Category:		Estimated time:	0.00 hour
Target version:			
Published in build:			
Description			
When calling memory allocation function from the context of interrupt it's required to ensure a non-preemptible execution of the function; but in the case of GFP_KERNEL flag, function execution can be preempted, because a greater number of operations to find and allocate memory blocks is executed.			
Verification: If some function is positioned as one that "is executed in a context of interrupt" then it's required to ensure checking for a necessary usage of the GFP_ATOMIC flag in the memory allocation functions for kernel modules.			
Example: void* ptr = kmalloc(size, GFP_ATOMIC);			
Related issues:			
Related to C Instrumentation Framework - Feature #3802: Add ability to refer ...		Closed	12/14/2012

History

#1 - 08/06/2012 12:38 PM - Vladimir Gratinskiy

- % Done changed from 10 to 90

I've found 5 unsafes.

#2 - 08/08/2012 02:34 PM - Vladimir Gratinskiy

- Due date set to 08/08/2012

- Status changed from Open to Resolved

- % Done changed from 90 to 100

I've found 2 true unsafes. Results here:

http://itgdev.intra.ispras.ru/wiki/index.php/Nice_table

Now this rule's name is 10_2a.

#3 - 08/19/2012 07:50 PM - Evgeny Novikov

Vladimir Gratinskiy wrote:

Now this rule's name is 10_2a.

More correctly, 10_2a is a model identifier (or model name), since the same rule may have different models implementing it. This rule seems to have 2 models for instance.

And another question. What is the difference between 10_1a and 10_2a models? If 10_1a is included into 10_2a model then it should be completely replaced with it.

#4 - 08/20/2012 02:23 PM - Vladimir Gratinskiy

Rule model 10_1a have been deleted. 10_2a includes functions that was in 10_1a but I've added some new similar functions. So, "10_2a" means that rule 10 wasn't changed, but now its model version is 2.

#5 - 08/20/2012 04:15 PM - Evgeny Novikov

Vladimir Gratinskiy wrote:

Rule model 10_1a have been deleted. 10_2a includes functions that was in 10_1a but I've added some new similar functions. So, "10_2a"

means that rule 10 wasn't changed, but now its model version is 2.

A number used in a model identifier after a rule identifier isn't a model *version*. That number is intended to distinguish models implementing different approaches for the same rule. For instance, one rule may have a rerouting model and a non rerouting model. This case to distinguish these models one should use different postfixes.

So, to correspond to the common naming scheme you have to use *10_1a* identifier instead of *10_2a*.

#6 - 01/29/2013 08:30 PM - Evgeny Novikov

- *Status changed from Resolved to Open*

Please, use ability to refer to function argument by its name specified in aspect file (implemented in [#3802](#)).

#7 - 01/29/2013 08:31 PM - Evgeny Novikov

- *Assignee changed from Vladimir Gratinskiy to Ilya Shchepetkov*

#8 - 02/11/2013 08:37 PM - Ilya Shchepetkov

- *Status changed from Open to Resolved*

The ability to refer to function argument by its name specified in aspect file was added in the commit b4b7331 of master branch.

Tests passed.

#9 - 05/27/2013 11:31 AM - Evgeny Novikov

- *Assignee changed from Ilya Shchepetkov to Vladimir Gratinskiy*

Ilya just has developed a small part of the rule specification.

#10 - 09/26/2014 07:27 PM - Vitaly Mordan

Some functions in "before: ALLOC", "around: ALLOC_AROUND" and "before: ALLOC_WITHOUT" (for example, static inline void *kmalloc(..., gfp_t flags, ..) and static inline void *kzalloc(..., gfp_t flags, ..)) will always return 0, which makes some pathes in program infeasible.